IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

::::

1.S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7020/2003- Hari Kishan Bheel Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

2.S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7019/2003- Abdul Kalam Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

3.S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7018/2003- Khem Raj Meena Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

4.S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.7021/2003- Kishan Lal Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors

WRIT PETITIONS UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

DATE OF ORDER : 14.12.2006

PRESENT
HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI MOHAMMAD RAFIQ

Shri Manish Shishodia],
Shri Vinit Sandhya], for the petitioners

Shri N.M. Lodha Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.
Shri Manoj Bohra, for Shri Rajesh Joshi, for the respondents,

<><><>

The petitioners have filed these writ petitions against the orders passed by the District Collector, Bhilwara (Annex.4) by

which they were not allowed to join in District Collectorate pursuant to order dated 30.5.1993 passed by the Chief Executive Officer, Fish Farmer's Development Agency, Bhilwara. The grievance of the petitioners was not only that they have not been allowed to join, but their salary for the intervening period was also not paid. They have therefore prayed for mandamus that the District Collector, Bhilwara be directed to comply with the order of the Government dated 15th May, 1998 and 14th March, 2001 whereby directions were issued for accommodating the petitioners in service elsewhere.

Shri N.M. Lodha, learned Additional Advocate General states that not only arrears of salary to all the petitioners upto the month of June, 2002 has been paid but also their complete salary for the subsequent period has been paid. He has also filed an affidavit today itself stating therein that vide order dated 20.11.2006 passed by the Local Self Government sanction has been granted for absorption of the petitioners as Class IV employees in the Municipal Council, Bhilwara.

In the light of the statement of Shri N.M. Lodha, learned Additional Advocate General, no other issue remains to be decided in these petitions.

The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of with the liberty to the petitioners to make representations to the respondents in case their any other claim remains unsettled.

There shall be no order as to costs.

(MOHAMMAD RAFIQ), J.

cpgoyal/-